Trainslation PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	(======		
Applicant's or agent's file reference AO-F8PCT	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/JP2003/010826		date (day/month/year) 003 (27.08.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n A61L 27/40, 27/42, 27/44	ational classification	and IPC	
Applicant	OGISO), Makoto	
This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and trans	ninary examination re mitted to the applicar	eport, established by this according to Article 36	International Preliminary Examining
This REPORT consists of a total ofThis report is also accompanied by A	5 shee	ts, including this cover sl	neet.
a. (sent to the applicant and		_	sheets, as follows:
sheets of the descr and/or sheets cont Administrative Ins	aumig recuncations a	drawings which have be authorized by this Author	en amended and are the basis of this report rity (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
sheets which super beyond the discloss Supplemental Box	sure in the internation	out which this Authority all application as filed, a	considers contain an amendment that goes s indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
	dicated in the Supple	alning a ceguence licting	e and number of electronic carrier(s)) and/or tables related thereto, in computer Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the
4. This report contains indications relati	ing to the following it	ems:	
Box No. I Basis of the rep	ort		
Box No. II Priority			
		egard to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability
		(0)	
citations and ex	pianations supporting	(2) with regard to novelty such statement	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;
Box No. VI Certain docume			
	in the international ar	-	
Box No. VIII Certain observa	tions on the internation	onal application	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report
25 March 2004 (25.03.2004)		02 Dece	ember 2004 (02.12.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/010826

With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unotherwise indicated under this item. This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:	nless				
This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:					
	· · · · · ·				
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)					
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which he furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "original and are not annexed to this report):	ave been lly filed"				
The international application as originally filed/furnished					
the description:					
pages 1, 2, 6, 7, 12-14, 16-20 , as originally file	d/furnished				
pages* 3.5.9.11.15	<u>.</u>				
26 August 2004 (26.08.20	004)				
the claims:					
pages 2-6, 9-16, 18, 19, 22-26 , as originally filed					
, as amended (together with any statement) unde	Article 19				
26 August 2004 (26.08.20	04)				
received by this Authority on					
the drawings:					
pages 1/6-6/6 , as originally filed	furnished/				
pages* received by this Authority on received by this Authority on					
Accepted by this Authority on					
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.					
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
the description, pages					
the claims, Nos					
the drawings, sheets/figs					
the sequence listing (specify):					
any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental (Rule 70.2(c)).	been Box				
the description, pages					
the claims, Nos.					
the drawings, sheets/figs					
the sequence listing (specify):					
any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
Horning (speedyy).	ľ				
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABL	LITY
---	------

International application No.

Box No. V R	easoned statement (tations and explana	under Article tions support	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventiving such statement	ve step or industrial applicability;	
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)		Claims	1-6, 9-19,	22-26	YES
		Claims			NO
Inventive step (IS)		Claims			
		Claims	1-6, 9-19,	22-26	YES NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6, 9-19, 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES	
	Claims			NO	
	EL DEEB, M. demineralized ORAL AND M 6 JP 03-191963 JP 2001-13732 WO 95/13102 JP 2003-51788 IGNJATOVIC	A (Nipponet al., Ostobone power MAXILLO) A (Mitsub 28 A (OLY A1 (IMPL 38 A (Hence 5, N. et al., er, using F	n Electric Glass Co., Ltd.) Augeogenesis in composite grafts der and porous hydroxylapatity FACIAL SURGERY, 1989, Vishi Mining & Cement Co., Ltd. MPUS OPTICAL CO., LTD. ANT INNOVATIONS, INC. 2003 A study of HAp/PLLA comport-IR spectroscopy, Biomateric	of allogenic e, JOURNAL OF Vol. 47, No. 1, p. 50- td.) August 21, 1991) May 22, 2001) May 18, 1995	

Document 1 cited in the international search report describes a porous implant material made of crystallized glass wherein the osteogenesis promoting material is either adhered to or impregnated in the crystallized glass porous body (claim 1), and it lists decalcified bone powder as the osteogenesis promoting material (Par. No. 0013; page 3, Examples).

Document 2 states that excellent osteogenesis is observed after transplantation of a complex of autologous decalcified bone powder and porous hydroxyapatite (see Abstract).

Document 3 describes a calcium phosphate bone prosthesis with micropores of 0.5 µm or less that is a porous body having a three-dimensional reticulate structure provided with communicating void channels (see claim 1).

Document 4 describes a bone prosthesis containing a bone inducing factor and porous β-tricalcium phosphate that has pores with diameters of 50-1,000 μm and pores with diameters of 5 μm (claim 4) or less.

Document 5 describes an implant that is surgically implantable in living bone, and it describes a method for modifying the surface thereof wherein grit is impacted with the surface of the implant to improve the bonding between the implant and the bone (see Claim 1; page 1, lines 8-11, etc.)

Document 6, which was cited in a written opinion dated September 21, 2004, describes the use of bone particles to induce bone formation (see claims 15 and 16, etc.), and it states that particles of unmodified bone are preferred as those bone particles (see claim 25, Par. No. 0013).

Document 7 describes a mixed implant of autologous bone powder and a complex of hydroxyapatite/poly-L-lactide (Abstract, etc.), and it states that the autologous bone powder can be obtained by pulverizing the bone of a mouse femoral region (see page 572, Materials and Methods).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP03/10826

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of Box V:

oClaims 1, 5, 6, and 9-16

Documents 1-7 above do not describe the inventions of claims 1, 5, 6, and 9-16, and therefore these inventions are novel.

When the inventions of the above claims are compared with the inventions described in documents 1 and 2, whereas decalcified bone powder is used in the invention described in document 1, living bone powder is used in the inventions of the above claims, and they differ in that respect.

However, document 6 states that it is preferable to use unmodified bone powder to induce bone formation, and document 7 describes transplantation using bone powder that is actually obtained by pulverizing living bone.

It is demonstrated by these descriptions that bone material that has not been decalcified, i.e., bone material from living bone, can be used to induce bone formation, and in the induction of bone formation, bone material from living bone has an effect that is preferable to modified bone material.

Because decalcified bone powder is used to induce bone formation in documents 1 and 2 above, this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily focus on the common aspects of this problem, and use bone powder that is not decalcified, i.e., bone powder from living bone, in the inventions described in documents 1 and 2 in the same manner that the decalcified bone powder is used, or with the expectation of obtaining a superior effect in inducing bone formation.

Moreover, this examination finds that using powder obtained from living bone does not provide a particularly outstanding effect in comparison with the use of decalcified bone.

Furthermore, although document 2 does not describe impregnating fine bone powder in a porous structural body, document 1 describes a method whereby bone powder is dispersed in physiological saline and then the porous body is immersed in that physiological. Thus, this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily include bone powder in the porous structural body by adopting a method similar to that described in document 1.

Moreover, this examination finds that no particularly outstanding effect is provided thereby. As a result, the inventions of claims 1, 5, 6, and 9-16 do not involve an inventive step with respect to documents 1, 2, 6, and 7.

However, in a written reply dated November 18, 2004, the applicant asserted that the present invention does involve an inventive step by pointing out that:

The porous structural body impregnated with bone in the present invention provides the effects of (a) containing a fine bone powder that differs from that described in documents 6 and 7;

- (b) displaying excellent bone regenerative capability; and
- (c) requiring only a small amount of collected bone when autologous bone is used.

The above assertions of the applicant are considered below.

(Continued to next page)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP03/10826

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of $Box\ V$:

With respect to (a), even though the particle size of the bone particles described in documents is larger, that does not change the fact that based on the descriptions in documents 6 and 7 bone material that is not decalcified, i.e., bone material from living bone can be used to induce bone formation and in the induction of bone formation, bone material from living bone has an effect that is preferable to modified bone material.

With respect to (b), in light of the explanation provided in the Description, this examination finds that using powder obtained from living bone does not provide a particularly outstanding effect in comparison with the use of decalcified bone.

With respect to (c), the scope of the claims in this application includes cases in which the bone powder that is used is not from autologous bone, but this examination does not find that this effect is particularly outstanding. Even if autologous bone were to be specified, in consideration of the fact that the matrix material is porous in the inventions described in documents 1 and 2, this examination finds that the amount of decalcified bone used would be just as small as in the inventions of this application, and if bone powder that is not decalcified, i.e., bone powder from living bone, is used in the inventions described in documents 1 and 2, it is a foreseeable effect from the descriptions in the cited documents that the amount of powder would be lessened.

As a result, the assertions of the applicant cannot be accepted.

oClaims 2 and 3

In the inventions of the above claims the pore size, etc., of the porous structural body is specified. However, in the field of artificial bone, etc., it is common practice to use a porous material as an implant, and it is public knowledge that porous material with the kind of pore size specified in claims 2 and 3 of this application can be used as an implant (see documents 3 and 4).

Moreover, descriptions in documents 1, 2, 6, and 7 show that that bone-forming capability is enhanced by mixing bone powder into the implant, and especially the descriptions in documents 6 and 7 show that bone powder obtained by pulverizing living bone can be used. Therefore, this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily use a porous material containing bone powder obtained by pulverizing living bone in the inventions of documents 3 and 4 with the expectation of a similar effect.

Furthermore, this examination finds that the effect obtained thereby is not particularly outstanding. As a result, the inventions of claims 2 and 3 do not involve an inventive step with respect to documents 1-4, 6 and 7 above.

oClaims 4, 17-19 and 22-26

As shown in document 5 above, the fact that bonding with the surrounding bone can be improved by roughening the surface of an implant is publicly known, and this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily roughen the surface in the inventions described in documents 1-4 with the expectation of a similar effect.

Furthermore, this examination finds that the effect obtained thereby is not particularly outstanding. As a result, the inventions of claims 4, 17-19 and 22-26 do not involve an inventive step with respect to documents 1-7 above.